

## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL

on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

## CHAIRMAN:

Judge John G. Heyburn II  
United States District Court  
Western District of Kentucky

## MEMBERS:

Judge D. Lowell Jensen  
United States District Court  
Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz  
United States District Court  
District of Maryland

Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.  
United States District Court  
Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vratil  
United States District Court  
District of Kausas

Judge David R. Hansen  
United States Court of Appeals  
Eighth Circuit

Judge Anthony J. Scirica  
United States Court of Appeals  
Third Circuit

## DIRECT REPLY TO:

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel  
One Columbus Circle, NE  
Thurgood Marshall Federal  
Judiciary Building  
Room G-255, North Lobby  
Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800  
Fax: [202] 502-2888  
<http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov>

March 19, 2008

Peter T. Dalleo, Clerk  
J. Caleb Boggs Federal Bldg.  
Lockbox 18  
844 North King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801-3570

Re: MDL No. 1717 -- IN RE: Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation

(See Attached CTO-4)

Dear Mr. Dalleo:

I am enclosing a certified copy and one additional copy of a conditional transfer order filed by the Panel in the above-captioned matter on March 3, 2008. As stipulated in Rule 7.4(a) of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), transmittal of the order has been stayed 15 days to give any party an opportunity to oppose the transfer. The 15-day period has now elapsed, no opposition was received, and the order is directed to you for filing.

The Panel's governing statute, 28 U.S.C. §1407, requires that the transferee clerk "...transmit a certified copy of the Panel's order to transfer to the clerk of the district court from which the action is being transferred."

Counsel for plaintiff is listed below.

Very truly,

SEINIGER LAW OFFICES, PA

Philip H. Gordon, Esq.  
GORDON LAW OFFICES  
623 West Hayes Street  
Boise, ID 83702-5512

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

By   
Deputy Clerk

2008 MAR 24 PM 12: 42  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Attachment

cc: Transferee Judge: Judge Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.  
Transferor Judge: Judge Edward J. Lodge  
Transferor Clerk: Cameron S. Burke

JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

MAR - 3 2008

FILED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

MAR 19 2008

ATTEST: *Jeffery Lüthi*  
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATIONUNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

## ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Seiniger Law Offices, PA v. Intel Corp.,  
D. Idaho, C.A. No. 1:08-31

)

MDL No. 1717

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-4)


On November 8, 2005, the Panel transferred ten civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 403 F.Supp.2d 1356 (J.P.M.L. 2005). Since that time, 25 additional actions have been transferred to the District of Delaware. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.

It appears that the action on this conditional transfer order involves questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Delaware and assigned to Judge Farnan.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), this action is transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Delaware for the reasons stated in the order of November 8, 2005, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.

MAR 19 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

MAR - 3 2008

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATIONFILED  
CLERK'S OFFICEIN RE: INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR  
ANTITRUST LITIGATIONSeiniger Law Offices, PA v. Intel Corp.,  
D. Idaho, C.A. No. 1:08-31 )

MDL No. 1717

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-4)


On November 8, 2005, the Panel transferred ten civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 403 F.Supp.2d 1356 (J.P.M.L. 2005). Since that time, 25 additional actions have been transferred to the District of Delaware. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.

It appears that the action on this conditional transfer order involves questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Delaware and assigned to Judge Farnan.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), this action is transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Delaware for the reasons stated in the order of November 8, 2005, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Joseph J. Farnan, Jr.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.

MAR 19 2008

CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION